AITCS

Government Project Failure in Developing Healthcare Website – A Review with Particular Reference to PMBOK

Katyaayani[1], Hanie Umi Sofea[1], Muhammad Firdaus[1], Nurhafizah[1], Megat Haziq[1], Mohamad Aizi Salamat[1]*

[1]Fakulti Sains Komputer dan Teknologi Maklumat,
Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Parit Raja, Batu Pahat, 86400, MALAYSIA

Abstract: This article provides an overview of incidents and issues related to project management in the development, operation, and upkeep of HealthCare.gov, the United States' official health system's government. It was launched under the Affordable Care Act, and has faced countless project management issues since its inception in 2013. This study focuses on the aspects that contribute to the failure of e-government project. It investigates the background of project failure in the framework of the project management body of knowledge. The incidents discussed in this report encompass a few aspects of incident that happened such as cost management, risk management, scope management, human resources management, communication management, and general project management practices. Each of the incidents has been analyzed with full focus on understanding its greater impact and big implications for the project, as well as identifying the strategies by a project manager for locating such challenges that caused these incidents. By examining these incidents, this report aims to provide insights into the complexity and importance of effective project management in large-scale, critical systems like HealthCare.gov.

Keywords: Project failure, Information System, Project Management, E-goverment

1.   Introduction

Healthcare reform in the United States, driven by the passage of the Affordable Care Act (ACA) in 2010, sought to provide comprehensive healthcare coverage for millions of Americans. At the heart of this transformative initiative was HealthCare.gov, the federal health insurance marketplace tasked with facilitating access to essential healthcare services. Launched in October 2013, HealthCare.gov was not merely a website; it was a complex, large-scale project that aimed to change the way millions of Americans accessed and secured their health insurance made by the government itself [1]. Nevertheless, HealthCare.gov's path was marred by several project management catastrophes that echoed across the country, touching the livelihoods of many people and their families. This article provides a detailed evaluation of issues involving project management in the context of HealthCare.gov. The occurrences

include a wide range of project management essentials, such as cost management, time management, scope management, human resource management, communication management, and general project management practices [2]. By investigating these instances, to get a thorough knowledge of the multiple issues confronting large-scale, mission-critical initiatives like HealthCare.gov, as well as useful insights and practices for good project management in the future as soon as possible.

As for the problems the website faced for cost management, significant cost overruns and technical concerns occurred during the initial launch and development of HealthCare.gov in 2013, ongoing maintenance and system improvements have incurred significant expenses. Incorrect cost estimation for improvements can lead to budgetary concerns. Moreover, problems that have been faced in terms of time management, HealthCare.gov has to be postponed in October 2013 owing much to significant technical difficulties, signaling the need for important changes in the system's operation. Constant maintenance and improvement were necessary to assure compliance with changing healthcare norms and standards, which was critical to the project's long-term performance. However, technical issues caused by these updates may have an impact on user access and project schedules, highlighting the significance of competent project management in addressing or locating these few challenges and maintaining a smooth user experience while conforming to few regulatory changes.

Plus, incidents that have also been faced in terms of scope management. Changes in user demands and requirements frequently necessitate changes towards the project scope, posing obstacles to the client's scope management. Furthermore, the changing landscape of healthcare regulations and legal requirements, as exemplified by the unanticipated impact of the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020, may necessitate additional changes to the website's scope, emphasizing the dynamic nature of healthcare projects and the need for effective scope control and adaptation to best serve both users and regulatory demands [3]. The concerns about data breaches are among the issues in terms of management of quality, since preserving sensitive healthcare information is crucial [4]. Furthermore, maintaining quality control throughout the project lifetime is critical, addressing issues such as code quality, system dependability, and industry standard adherence. Then, user experiences, including website accessibility and usability, as well as the design of the user interface (UI) and user experience (UX), should be examined as soon as possible and modified on a regular basis to create a very smooth and user-friendly platform for all generations of healthcare customers.

In terms of Human Resources management, ineffective information transmission might result in knowledge gaps within the project team, stifling development. Change resistance, particularly in a fast-paced project context, may stymie progress and innovation. Furthermore, inadequate resource allocation, whether in terms of time or people, can have a substantial influence on project tasks and schedules, emphasizing the importance of proactive management to handle these difficulties and assure the project's success. For communications that become problems among any other team members. Inadequate engagement from important stakeholders can result in misunderstandings, changes in scope, and delays, while the use of ineffective or inefficient communication channels can stymie the smooth flow of information [5]. Misinterpretation or misunderstanding of project information can lead to errors and demand scope changes, highlighting the crucial need of excellent stakeholder participation and clear, efficient communication throughout the project lifecycle.

Project risk exists in every single endeavor, whether modest or huge. Likewise, in the project of healthcare.gov, inefficient risk analysis along website that was not initially built to accommodate a large number of individual users have contributed to the difficulties encountered upon its introduction. Furthermore, despite the high degree of technological intricacy required, there were multiple missed opportunities to correct faults prior to the website's launch. Furthermore, a failure to identify adequate procedures exacerbated the project's issues, emphasizing the crucial necessity for comprehensive risk assessment, capacity planning, and proactive issue resolution in complex technical initiatives [6]. A project of the magnitude of HealthCare.gov involves careful vendor selection, since inexperienced or

non-compliant providers may pose issues. Poorly managed contracts can lead to disputes, scope creep, or cost overruns, while ensuring that vendor-supplied items or services fulfill essential quality criteria can be difficult. Thorough vendor evaluation and good contract management are critical to avoiding these risks and assuring the project's success.

Project integration management. Improperly handled scope modifications can cause delays and increased costs, whilst insufficient resource planning can result in resource overallocation or under allocation, affecting project efficiency. Misaligned stakeholder expectations and a lack of communication can stymie project development even further. As a result, effective scope change management, detailed resource planning, and clear, coordinated stakeholder communication are critical for a project's successful execution and cost control. In the subsequent sections of this report, we will delve into the specifics of incidents encountered in the project management of HealthCare.gov, exploring each category of incident with meticulous detail and discerning the key takeaways for future projects of similar magnitude[7]. This analysis seeks to provide a holistic view of the challenges, opportunities, and best practices in managing projects of national importance. As we embark on this journey through the turbulent history of HealthCare.gov, let us keep in mind that the experiences detailed within these pages hold valuable lessons for the leaders, managers, and stakeholders of projects that shape the future of our nation.

2.   Project Management Body Of Knowledge

E-government healthcare projects are rapidly coming into attention in project management as project management methods improve and the problems with project success are no longer in procedures. We will not be able to fully enhance the government healthcare project until we modify how we handle healthcare information technology projects. This necessitates an essential transformation in how organizations handle IT initiatives. Thus, applying the Project Management Body of Knowledge (PMBOK) serves foundation for project management practice and improvise the healthcare delivery. It provides a structured, systematic approach to managing projects of various size and difficulty, making it a valuable resource for project managers, professionals, and organizations looking to improve their project management skills. In this case of HealthCare.gov project, the project manager should manage the project methodically and assess using a thorough areas of PMBOK. It could possibly claim this healthcare.gov project is impervious to traditional PMBOK criteria such as cost management, scope management, and risk management.

2.1   Cost Management

Cost management is a crucial component of project management that includes planning, estimate, budgeting, tracking, regulating, and reporting utilising techniques such as expert decision-making, comparable estimation, parametric estimation, and top-down estimation. E-government projects often fail due to poor management, technical challenges, and lack of user-centred design. Successful projects require effective planning, user-centred design, and quality assurance [8]. The Healthcare.gov case study demonstrates the importance of proper planning, stakeholder engagement, and quality assurance.

The case study also reveals significant cost overruns, technical challenges, and inaccurate cost estimates for system upgrades. To prevent such issues in the future, project managers should identify root causes, implement cost-cutting measures, maintain transparent communication with stakeholders, and establish preventive processes. Regular evaluation of maintenance and improvement costs, collaboration with government sponsors and stakeholders, and resource alignment with project priorities are crucial. Improved cost estimation accuracy can be achieved by involving specialists and utilizing historical data from similar projects [9]. As a project manager, we should make sure the aspect where the stakeholders should be promptly informed of budget inconsistencies, with clear explanations provided. Project managers must assure to document the budget adjustments and controls as necessary

to accommodate unforeseen costs or scope changes. This proactive cost management approach is essential for the project's financial success .

In conclusion, effective project cost management is a cornerstone of project success, ensuring projects stay within budget and meet their objectives [10]. E-government projects, as exemplified by the Healthcare.gov case study, highlight the critical importance of meticulous planning, user-centred design, and transparent cost control. By learning from past challenges, implementing cost-cutting measures, and maintaining open communication with stakeholders, project managers can safeguard the financial success of their endeavours and deliver value to the public and organizations they serve[11].

2.2   Scope Management

Project Scope Management in the context of our project faces a multitude of challenges, primarily centred around accommodating changes in user needs and requirements. For this problem, the demands of users are constantly evolving, necessitating flexibility and adaptability in project management approach. This approach might be particularly difficult because it may entail updating the website's essential capabilities and features. Additionally, healthcare regulations and legal requirements are subject to change, often influenced by external factors such as the Covid-19 pandemic in 2020. As a result, the project scope can be significantly impacted by these dynamic factors [12].

To overcome these issues, the project manager must maintain constant and open communication with users and stakeholders. This ensures that customers are kept informed of any expected changes and feel actively involved in the decision-making process as a result. The project manager should collaborate with users and stakeholders to identify necessary changes and make informed decisions about the project scope [13]. Analysing how changes affect the project's scope, schedule and budget is a critical step. Project managers must carefully consider the implications of each change and input from the team, whether it can be accommodated within the project's existing boundaries. This analysis helps in managing the project within its defined limits, ensuring that it remains on track. Furthermore, it is critical to create clear communication and expectations with clients. This includes defining roles and duties on both sides, establishing realistic project objectives, and ensuring that clients understand the potential implications of modifications. The project scope can be controlled more efficiently by maintaining transparency and encouraging teamwork. Monitoring regulatory changes is another key aspect of the project scope management, especially in the healthcare sector. The project manager must constantly examine how these changes may affect the scope of the project [14]. Working closely with legal and compliance experts is essential to ensuring that the project remains consistent with the most recent regulations, with real-time updates as needed.

In summary, effective project scope management in the face of changing user needs, legal requirements, and unforeseen events such as Covid-19 pandemic requires a proactive approach[15] . This approach involves maintaining open lines of communication, analysing the impact of changes, managing the project within boundaries, establishing clear client expectations and remaining alert regarding new rules are all part of this approach. By addressing these aspects, the project manager can navigate the challenges effectively and deliver a successful project.

2.3   Risk Management

Despite the growing accumulation of valuable knowledge, encompassing both conceptual insights and practical expertise in the field of Information Systems Development, there remains a persistent and widespread issue of high failure rates in large-scale information system development and implementation projects. One of the main causes of such failure is risk management [16]. A risk can be a Threat, which is an issue that has a negative impact on the project's goals, or it can be an Opportunity, which is a risk that has a positive impact on project goals, and there are different strategies for dealing with negative and positive risks in Project Management [17]. Drawing from the Healthcare.gov project case study, it suggests that as project managers become proficient in handling the risks inherent in IT

projects, these e-government initiatives may avoid becoming worldwide catastrophes. As a Project Manager, managing project risk is a daily action which lies at the core of every operation. The first and most important thing a project manager may do to minimize risks on a project is to include risk management within the project. In the role of a Project Manager, it is crucial to create a documented risk management plan that outlines particular risk mitigation approaches and imparts the team with the skills to recognize risks before they escalate into critical issues [18]. As a guide, the project manager could provide the team with organization's risk management processes which include how each phase will function, the risk sensitivity level, risk categorization and extent, defining record preserving needs, roles and duties specified for each stakeholder, and a threat budgeting backup overview.

Besides, the project manager could schedule 'risk brainstorming sessions' to identify potential risks that may arise in the future. It is beneficial to have multiple risk detection approaches and to test with them in order to uncover unanticipated risks that may occur. For example, those involved may identify losing information as a concern and analyze the most efficient software for backing up for the project. In the capacity of a project manager, it's essential to ensure that every risk is distinctly articulated, including its origin, potential consequences, and the project's susceptibility to its impact, and communicate this information to the team [19]. This is part of a recurring process in which the risk management plan's timeframes and activation requirements are evaluated and specified. In order to evaluate risks and possibilities, a project manager should perform a thorough evaluation of both risks and possibilities. As instance, the project manager could prioritize qualitative and quantitative analysis for subsequent assessments by integrating the likelihood of occurrence and the risk effect. This could be brought up a successful monitoring and measurement within the project. To minimize risks within an imprecise, difficult, and hazy project implementation plan, project manager must tie with strategies for execution that require greater improvement, in-depth examination, clarifications, and revisions [20].

Moreover, ITPAC members noted that on several projects, the scheduled durations for critical tasks were often overly compressed, likely driven by pressures from politically appointed management levels to expedite project completion and demonstrate results promptly. In reality, significantly more time would be necessary, as inadequate time allocation could lead to unfavorable outcomes, including incomplete user needs analysis, limited user engagement, and insufficient training. [21]. In order to mitigate this high risk, the ITPAC recommended that we as project managers should ensure that these projects' implementation strategies are modified, and that modular and gradual approaches to risk management be used, as the risk measure is connected with the previously mentioned absence of organizational capability of public corporations for managing IT projects. Conversely, a project manager shall involve the systematic management of risks within their projects. This entails ensuring that risks have a minimal adverse effect on the project's ultimate outcome, while also maximizing the potential for capitalizing on certain opportunities throughout the project's lifecycle.

3. Conclusion

The problems with HealthCare.gov project management that were brought up in the journal article provide insight into the difficulties and complexities involved in overseeing significant, mission-critical projects . Aspects of project management such as cost, scope, quality, risk, communication, and project integration management are all included in these difficulties. HealthCare.gov's experiences and lessons gained provide important guidance for next national undertakings. This may offer some suggestions for e-government initiatives that can be implemented to lessen the difficulties and complications that the project entails [22]. First among them is to identify possible risks and their potential effects, do a thorough risk assessment at the beginning of the project. To obtain a variety of viewpoints, make sure all relevant parties are participating in this process. The subsequent task is to ensure that all project stakeholders comprehend and concur with the project's scope. Any alterations to the scope should be subject to a well-defined change control process. Additionally, it is essential to establish a comprehensive and accurate cost estimation procedure that considers all project elements,

including labour, software, hardware, and contingency funding [23]. Governments and project managers may better negotiate the challenging terrain of e-government projects by addressing the difficulties that have been highlighted and putting these recommendations into practice. This will eventually ensure the projects' success and help to develop public services and citizen involvement.

Acknowledgment

References

[1]   L. Anthopoulos, C. G. Reddick, I. Giannakidou, and N. Mavridis, "Why e-government projects fail? An analysis of the Healthcare.gov website," *Gov Inf Q*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 161–173, Jan. 2016, doi: 10.1016/J.GIQ.2015.07.003.

[2]   H. Dolfing, "Case Study 17: The Disastrous Launch of Healthcare.gov."

[3]   W. ANDREWS and A. WERNER, "Healthcare.gov plagued by crashes on 1st day," *CBS Interactive Inc*, Washington D.C., Oct. 01, 2013.

[4]   A. H. Seh *et al.*, "Healthcare Data Breaches: Insights and Implications.," *Healthcare (Basel)*, vol. 8, no. 2, May 2020, doi: 10.3390/healthcare8020133.

[5]   G. Dr. Lee and J. Brumer, "Managing Mission-Critical Government Software Projects: Lessons Learned from Healthcare. gov Project.," *The Business of Government.*, pp. 1–7, 2017.

[6]   Y. Grushka-Cockayne, "Project Management Lessons From the HealthCare.gov Launch," *News Wise*, Charlottesville, VA USA, Feb. 01, 2018.

[7]   N. Baum and B. Swig, "Project Management for Healthcare Practices: Costs and Timing.," *J Med Pract Manage*, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 271–275, Jan. 2017.

[8]   D. Dada, "The Failure of E-Government in Developing Countries: A Literature Review," *THE ELECTRONIC JOURNAL OF INFORMATION SYSTEMS IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 1–10, Aug. 2006, doi: 10.1002/j.1681-4835.2006.tb00176.x.

[9]   "Project Cost Management: Steps, Basics and Benefits," hexagon.

[10]   A. Filev, "What is Cost Management in Project Management?," Wrike.

[11]   H. K. Doloi, "Understanding stakeholders' perspective of cost estimation in project management," *International Journal of Project Management*, vol. 29, no. 5, pp. 622–636, Jul. 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.ijproman.2010.06.001.

[12]   S. Bushuyev, D. Bushuiev, and V. Bushuieva, "PROJECT MANAGEMENT DURING INFODEMIC OF THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC," *Innovative Technologies and Scientific Solutions for Industries*, vol. 0, no. 2 (12), pp. 13–21, Jun. 2020, doi: 10.30837/2522-9818.2020.12.013.

[13]   E. R. Tucmeanu, A. I. Tucmeanu, M. G. Iliescu, J. Żywiołek, and Z. Yousaf, "Successful Management of IT Projects in Healthcare Institutions after COVID-19: Role of Digital Orientation and Innovation Adaption," *Healthcare*, vol. 10, no. 10, p. 2005, Oct. 2022, doi: 10.3390/healthcare10102005.

[14]   Derenskaya Yana, "PROJECT SCOPE MANAGEMENT PROCESS," *ECONOMIC STUOlBS*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 1–13, Jan. 2018.

[15]   L. Anthopoulos, C. G. Reddick, I. Giannakidou, and N. Mavridis, "Why e-government projects fail? An analysis of the Healthcare.gov website," *Gov Inf Q*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 161–173, Jan. 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.giq.2015.07.003.

[16]   T. A. Pardo and H. J. J. Scholl, "Walking atop the cliffs: avoiding failure and reducing risk in large scale e-government projects," in *Proceedings of the 35th Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, IEEE Comput. Soc, pp. 1656–1665. doi: 10.1109/HICSS.2002.994076.

[17]   S. Alhawari, L. Karadsheh, A. Nehari Talet, and E. Mansour, "Knowledge-Based Risk Management framework for Information Technology project," *Int J Inf Manage*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 50–65, Feb. 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2011.07.002.

[18]   B. Schwartz, "The Risk Management Process in Project Management," ProjectManager .

[19]   A. Ahmed, B. Kayis, and S. Amornsawadwatana, "A review of techniques for risk management in projects," *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 22–36, Mar. 2007, doi: 10.1108/14635770710730919.

[20]   E. Loukis and Y. Charalabidis, "Why do eGovernment Projects Fail? Risk Factors of Large Information Systems Projects in the Greek Public Sector," *International Journal of Electronic Government Research*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 59–77, Apr. 2011, doi: 10.4018/jegr.2011040104.

[21]   A. C. Cagliano, S. Grimaldi, and C. Rafele, "A systemic methodology for risk management in healthcare sector," *Saf Sci*, vol. 49, no. 5, pp. 695–708, Jun. 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.ssci.2011.01.006.

[22]   N. Kaeding, "The Failed HealthCare.gov Launch," Cato Instuition.

[23]   Tabbaa Bishr, "Small is Beautiful — The Big Bang Launch Failure of Healthcare.gov," DataSeries.