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**Abstract:** The drive towards renewable energy become intense nowadays due to the increasing price of fossil fuels and depletion of their sources. Among promising resource of renewable energy is the biomass. In Malaysia, oil palm biomass are available in large quantity and suitable for conversion into value added bio-fuels. In this study, an-innovative small-scale downdraft gasifier was designed, development and tested for biomass gasification. The gasifier operates at flowrate less than 0.1 m³/s with a capacity of 5 KW. During testing and commissioning of the gasifier, the maximum efficiency of the gasifier was found to be about 71% when firing empty fruit bunch pellets. This gasifier has potentials to be up scaled for actual use either for domestic or industrial use.
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1. **Introduction**

Reducing energy consumption is always beneficial no mankind, as the present available energy resources were prolonged while reducing the environmental impact to our world. Society today should always opt for energy efficiency while looking into the utilization of renewable energy such as solar, wind, biomass and hydro [1]. Most of the developing countries has growing their interest in biofuel development and providing greater access to clean liquid fuels while helping to address the issues such as increase in global warming and fuel price concerns associated with petroleum fuels [5]. In countries with tropical climate such as Malaysia, biomass is one of the popular energy resource. In Malaysia particularly, biomass from oil palm milling is available in abundant quantities.

One of the established methods in deriving energy from biomass is the thermochemical conversion, especially gasification. Gasification is basically obtained by limiting the amount of air during chemical reaction at sub-stoichiometric level. As such, the reaction becomes an incomplete combustion and produces producer gas (synthetic gas). This process has four phase namely drying, pyrolysis, oxidation, and reduction. The drying process occurs at around 100°C and removes moisture from the fuel. The pyrolysis process occurs at around 200–300 °C, where volatiles were released and char was produced. Oxidation process is basically combustion, where the fuel reacts with oxygen to produce carbon dioxide and more importantly heat, for the subsequent gasification reactions. Finally, in gasification, the char reacts with air to produce methane, carbon monoxide and hydrogen which cumulatively known as producer gas that are combustible. The stages in a typical gasifier are presented by [6] as in Fig. 1.
The focus of this study is to design and analyze a small-scale downdraft gasifier with a capacity of 5kW. This gasifier is to produce syngas for domestic application such as domestic cooking. The fuel oil palm biomass namely the oil palm shell.

1.1. Gasifier Types

Types of gasifier design depends of the fuel availability, moisture content, ash content and end user application. Gasifiers design is a simple construction, it is made from the steel or concrete and operate at low gas velocity, high carbon conversion with the long residence time of solid [8]. Biomass gasifier is more difficult to gasify compared to fossil fuel cause of presence of complex ligno-cellulosic structures [4]. The biomass gasifier types are shown in Fig. 1.

![Fig. 1: Stages of gasification process](image)

![Fig. 2: Types of biomass gasifier](image)
Moving bed type or fixed bed type gasifier gasifies biomass using a cylindrical reactor. It is having a bed of solid fuel particles through interaction of the air, oxygen, steam and gas in gasifying. The gas produce will pass either up or down [8]. It can be classified into three types which is downdraft, updraft and cross-draft gasifier [9] as shown in Fig. 3. Each depends on the gas flow direction on each of the gasifier types. Regions of reaction distribution positioning which is drying, pyrolysis, combustion and reduction, for fixed bed reactor it is depending on type of gasifier [10]. Differences of reactor design was basically to accommodate various type of fuel.

![Gasifier Types](image)

Fig. 3: Types of gasifier (a) Updraft (b) Downdraft and (c) Cross-draft

### 1.2. Biomass Gasification

Biomass gasification process of converting the biomass with a mixture of combustion and non-combustion gas to produce a gas. In other word biomass gasification mean incomplete combustion [16]. The thermal-conversion of the gasification is below 40% combustion. Gasifier is use for energy production that widely used in biomass. Biomass gasification consists conversion of the solid or liquid organic compound in a gas or vapor phase [17]. The gas phase that produce are usually called syngas that has high temperature and can be used to generate a power and production of biofuels [13]. At the solid phase, char produce from the organic unconverted fraction and the inert material that threated by biomass gasification process [6]. Quality of the syngas depends of the temperature value and tar content produces by gasification process. Good quality of the syngas has high temperature value and low of tar content [15]. The gas mixture to produce syngas is carbon monoxide (CO), hydrogen (H₂), methane (CH₄) and carbon dioxide (CO₂). Other gases can also be present in the syngas such as inert gases nitrogen (N₂). It is happen depends on the biomass treated and while the operational conditions of the gasification system [6]. The char from the gasifier at the solid phase. Char is a mixture of the ash, largely carbon and unconverted organic fraction. Gasification technology and the operational conditions will affect the amount of the unconverted organic fraction [7].
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Product Design Structure

The design has 16 parts and divided by 2 sub-assembly: the gasifier body and body filter guts. The design consists a filter box to filter the fly ash with a circulating water tank and filter chamber. The schematic design with the function of the part shown in Fig. 4. The filter body was designed for good cleaning for the tar content, ash content, water absorption in the producer gas, to ensure clean and high quality gas obtained. Gas cooling is necessary in the present work to reduce thermal stress on the gasifier and piping system.. The material used as filter were fine wiremesh integrated with dried corn-cobs which has good permeability to assist producer gas flow and reduce pressure drop which may result blowback during operation. The reactor, grate and other parts were made from stainless and mild steel to ensure strength and ability of the system to ensure structural integrity. The assembly drawing of the downdraft gasifier is shown in Fig. 4.

![Fig. 4: Final Design of the downdraft gasifier (front view)](image)

2.2. Thermal analysis

Thermal analysis is one of the important analysis in the present study. This is because the gasifier is subjected to thermal load significantly in comparison with other form of loads such as structural loads. The thermal stresses arise from the high temperature ambient inside the gasifier which can be divided into four distinct regions, namely the drying region, pyrolysis region, oxidation (or combustion) and finally the reduction zone. Each zone has different temperature range and this will affect the structural integrity during gasification process. The analysis was limited to gasifier body alone hence this is the region the high temperature ambient occurs. All thermal analysis was done via simulation in the SolidWorks 2017, and later observed during experimental works.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Thermal analysis

Thermal analysis was carried out with the aid of SolidWorks 2017. Figure 5 to 8 shows the temperature distribution in the downdraft gasifier during gasification process. The temperature varied from high temperature at the bottom at about 1000°C and reduced towards the upper region to 400°C. This is due to the chemical reaction taking place intensely at the bottom of the gasifier unit. The temperature manifests the amount of heat released during oxidation and then transferred to the walls and overall, the temperature distribution was found to be much lower than the maximum allowable temperature of the gasifier which is about 1400°C taken from its allowable temperature. This was also based on the material of the gasifier which was made from the stainless steel.
3.2. Synthetic gas composition

For syngas analysis result, a chromatograph gas model GC-2014 Shidmazu was used. The composition were predicted on the volume basis of the synthetic gas that produce. For testing the gasifier using the EFB pellet fuel, the air velocity of the experiment was 1.60 m³/s. The element of the synthetic gas produce composition for air velocity of 1.60 m³/s can be seen at the Table 1 below:

Table 1: Main elements of synthetic gas composition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Vol %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H₂</td>
<td>9.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₂</td>
<td>58.53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>4.59%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>12.52%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>8.86%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: LHV of components of producer gas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>LHV (KJ/m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H₂</td>
<td>10788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N₂</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH₄</td>
<td>35814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>12622</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Thus, the lower heating value of gas (LHV_{gas}) for air velocity 1.60 m³/s is calculated using formula as shown below:

\[
LHV_{gas} = \sum (\text{volume % of component} \times \text{LHV of component})
\]

\[
= (0.0925 \times 10788) + (0.5833 \times 0) + (0.0459 \times 35814) + (0.1252 \times 0) + (0.0886 \times 12622)
\]

\[
= 3760.10 \text{ KJ/m}^3
\]

3.3. Efficiency of Gasifier

The gasifier efficiency was important to be evaluated to obtain the actual amount of fuel converted to synthetic gas. There are several factors affecting the gasifier efficiency such as
thermodynamic loss and friction. These factors are however not taken into account here, and only the cold gas efficiency (CGE) was calculated. The cold gas efficiency (CGE) is measure the gasifier performance. It is can be defined as the ratio between the flow of energy in the gas and the energy contained within the fuel. Cold gas efficiency (CGE) does not take into account that the product gas exiting the gasifier is hot. The higher the cold gas efficiency it would be better the fuel conversion. The CGE equation is given below:

\[ Q_{gas} = \text{Volume flow rate of gas (m}^3/\text{s)} \]

\[ H_{solid} = \text{Lower heating value of solid fuel (KJ/kg)} \]

\[ M_{solid} = \text{Solid fuel Consumption (Kg/s)} \]

\[ \text{LHV}_{gas} = \sum (\text{volume} \% \text{ of component} \times \text{LHV of component}) \]

\[ = (0.0925 \times 10788) + (0.5833 \times 0) + (0.0459 \times 35814) + (0.1252 \times 0) + (0.0886 \times 12622) \]

\[ = 3760.10 \text{ KJ/m}^3 \]

\[ \eta_g = \frac{3760.06 \times 0.00178}{18930 \times 0.00051} \times 100\% \]

\[ = 70\% \]

3.4. Techno-Economic Analysis

For downdraft gasifier, the amount of use agricultural wastes and wood wastes must be considered. The cost of the product must be evaluated to identify the determinant in gasification technology potential. The gasification technology must completely economically for the environmental benefit. In order to realize this techno-economic analysis, comparison was made with usage of liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) which was commercially available, assuming that this gasifier was used as a replacement for LPG gas in a small restaurant. Based on the quick survey carried out, a small restaurant consumes about 6 units of 14 kg LPG cylinder per month. This value was used for analysis, which were as follows:

LPG gas usage per year

\[ = 14 \text{ kg kg/cylinder} \times 6 \text{ cylinder/month} \times 12 \text{ month/year} = 1099 \text{ kg of LPG gas per year} \]

3.5. Product Cost Estimation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost (RM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plate</td>
<td>250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stopper socket</td>
<td>14.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 phase blower</td>
<td>120.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipe</td>
<td>45.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel filter</td>
<td>40.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ceramic insulation</td>
<td>250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td>200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>919.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Cost (RM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Product cost</td>
<td>919.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly maintenance cost</td>
<td>50.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity bill</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>979.00</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is important to highlight that certain item are charged with tax hence the total cost will be different after the charged with tax. The downdraft gasifier project only spent less than RM 1000.

The payback period for downdraft gasifier to recover the cost of machine :

\[ \frac{\text{Cost of product}}{\text{Price LPG gas for year}} = \frac{\text{RM1000}}{\text{RM2/kg} \times 1088 \text{ kg/year}} = 0.46 \text{ years} \]

\[ \approx 6 \text{ months} \]


With the usage of downdraft gasifier and replacement of LPG gas for cooking, a certain amount of greenhouse gas emission can be avoided. The GHG emission factor for LPG gas is 1760 kg per ton of LPG gas, assume the LPG gas is butane. GHG avoidance is as following:

The amount of avoidance usage of CO\(_2\)

\[ = 1760 \times 1.088 = 1915 \text{ kg of CO}_2 \]
Therefore, about 1915 kg of CO$_2$ can be avoided for usage in a small restaurant using this downdraft gasifier.

4. Conclusions

In this study, an innovative downdraft gasifier has been designed, developed and tested to gasify oil palm biomass to produce producer gas. The producer gas is a bio-fuel which can be used to replace the present consumption of liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) which is a fossil fuel. The objectives were successfully achieved whereby a 5 kW downdraft gasifier unit has been developed. The design was done using SolidWork 2017, followed by thermal analysis at critical parts. From the operation of the gasifier, it was found that the producer gas contains (carbon monoxide (CO) 8.86%, hydrogen (H$_2$) 9.25%, carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) 12.52%, Methane (CH$_4$) 4.59% and Nitrogen (N$_2$) 58.53%).

The author had done the analysis toward engineering and experimental element during the testing and commissioning of the downdraft gasifier. As the result of the performance efficiency of the downdraft gasifier was 70%. This downdraft gasifier can stand with the high temperature at the bottom region at about 1000$^\text{o}$C to 400$^\text{o}$C to the upper region. This validates the thermal simulation done by using the SolidWork 2017.

This downdraft gasifier was also being economically viable and provides environmental benefit. The return of investment was calculated to be 6 months for a small restaurant, when the LPG cylinder was replaced by using this downdraft gasifier. Also by using this downdraft gasifier, the small restaurant can reduce the LPG usage about 1088 kg gas per year. This reducing of gas LPG mean can avoid the greenhouse gas emission CO$_2$ about 1915 kg CO$_2$.
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