© Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia Publisher's Office



JTET

http://penerbit.uthm.edu.my/ojs/index.php/jtet ISSN 2229-8932 e-ISSN 2600-7932 Journal of Technical Education and Training

### The Influence of Entrepreneurship Education on University Students' Entrepreneurship Self-Efficacy and Entrepreneurial Intention

# Sarasaran Vivekananth<sup>1\*</sup>, Logaiswari Indiran<sup>1</sup>, Umar Haiyat Abdul Kohar<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Management, Block T08, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 Johor Bahru, Johor, MALAYSIA

\*Corresponding Author

DOI: https://doi.org/10.30880/jtet.2023.15.04.011 Received 6<sup>th</sup> June 2023; Accepted 16<sup>th</sup> November 2023; Available online 27<sup>th</sup> December 2023

Abstract: Entrepreneurship education in Higher Learning Institutions (HLIs) is vital to strengthening a country's entrepreneurship ecosystem, which fosters economic growth, innovation, and job creation. Through entrepreneurship education, more job creators are expected to be created and nurtured with entrepreneurial awareness, knowledge, abilities, and support. Therefore, this study investigated the relationship between entrepreneurship education, namely entrepreneurship curriculum, entrepreneurship lecturers' competency, and university entrepreneurship support in influencing entrepreneurship intention among Universiti Teknologi Malaysia's students, with entrepreneurship selfefficacy as the mediator. The methodological approach of this study is a quantitative method. The population of this study was Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) undergraduate students with a sample size of 212 respondents, obtained using simple random probability sampling. Data collected from respondents was analysed using the SPSS statistical analytical tool by applying descriptive, regression and mediation tests. The study's findings revealed that entrepreneurship curriculum and university entrepreneurship support have significantly influenced both entrepreneurship self-efficacy and intention. However, entrepreneurship lecturers' competency was found to have an insignificant relationship with entrepreneurship self-efficacy and intention. The influence of entrepreneurship self-efficacy towards entrepreneurship intention was also significant. Meanwhile, the mediating effect of entrepreneurship self-efficacy between entrepreneurship education components (curriculum, lecturers' competency, and university entrepreneurship support) and entrepreneurship intention were all significant. Thus, this study has provided insights for various stakeholders, predominantly academicians, HLIs, and policymakers, to understand the current scenario on the effectiveness of Malaysia's entrepreneurship education.

Keywords: Entrepreneurship education, self-efficacy, entrepreneurial intention, curriculum

#### 1. Introduction

It is generally accepted that entrepreneurial activity is crucial in creating jobs, innovations, and overall economic growth. (Stoica et al., 2020). Rather than relying entirely on foreign investment, every country must foster local entrepreneurship for long-term socio-economic development and sustainability (Hassan et al., 2020). In Malaysia, entrepreneurship developments are generally closely linked to Malaysia's five-year programmes and national policies such as the New Economic Policy, New Development Policy, National Vision Policy, and New Economic Model. The recent National Entrepreneurship Policy, which was launched in July 2019, also intends to promote an entrepreneurial culture across

Malaysian society and build an entrepreneurial ecosystem that is competitive in the global economy and Industry Revolution 4.0, with the ultimate ambition of establishing Malaysia as a prominent entrepreneurial nation by the year 2030 (New Straits Times, 11 July 2019).

In support of these policies, Malaysia's entrepreneurship education (EE) has flourished in the twenty-first century, especially due to the expansion of knowledge-based economy developments (Abd Hamid, 2013). Governments worldwide are focused on promoting awareness of the role of entrepreneurs at all levels of education, particularly at Higher Learning Institutions (HLIs), and motivating students to explore business ownership as a profession (Lackéus, 2015). With EE especially, more individuals are anticipated to launch their business ventures, becoming job creators instead of job seekers, during studies and after graduation (Premand et al., 2016), regardless of their educational background or specialisation (Kamaruddin et al., 2017) because ultimately EE has the potential to equip aspiring entrepreneurs as well as current entrepreneurs with the information, abilities, and mindsets necessary to deal with the demands, setbacks, and unknowns that they will encounter will face in the real business world (Dumitrache and Raileanu, 2014).

Furthermore, aside from highlighting entrepreneurship in the national policies and Malaysia's five-year national plans, the Malaysian government had implemented several specific entrepreneurship education policies for HLIs, starting with the Higher Education Entrepreneurship Development Policy on 13th April 2010, followed by The Strategic Plan on Entrepreneurship Development in Higher Education (2013-2015), Entrepreneurship Action Plan for Higher Education Institutions (2016-2020), Ministry of Higher Education's Entrepreneurship Action Plan (2021 - 2025) and Entrepreneurship Integrated Education. Remarkably, the Ministry of Higher Education's Entrepreneurship Action Plan 2021-2025 addresses four main key performance indexes (KPIs) in Entrepreneurship Education in Malaysia, which are i) entrepreneurship awareness among students, ii) graduate entrepreneurs, ii) student businesses and iv) educators with entrepreneurship expertise. The external and internal components of Malaysia's HLI's entrepreneurial education ecosystem is depicted in Table 1.

External Factor			Internal Factor		
•	Overall Business Environment – Economic,	•	Support from HLI's Top Management		
	Competition Landscape	•	Support from academic and non-academic		
•	Ministry of Higher Education		staffs		
•	Public Sector and Government agencies	•	The effectiveness of entrepreneurship centers		
•	Corporate Sector	•	Competency of educators		
•	Non-Governmental Organizations	•	Education Programs		
•	Funding Support	•	Development of entrepreneurs		
•	Support from society in general	•	Readiness of students		

Table 1 - Malaysian HLIs' entrepreneurship education ecosystem

Source: Hamidon (2015)

However, Malaysia is a developing nation with a high rate of unemployed university graduates (Hanapi and Nordin, 2014; Lee et al., 2021). This is one of the most serious social development concerns in Malaysia, as graduates' preference for working for a corporation rather than working for themselves is the prominent factor contributing to the present situation (Karim, 2016; Shakur et al., 2020). The Department of Statistics Malaysia (2020) shares worrisome data showing that the number of jobless graduates in Malaysia increased by 22.5 per cent, reaching 202,400 in 2020 from 165,200 in 2019, whereas in 2018, it was 161,300 people. According to Sani and Jamil (2022), although Malaysian HLIs have made notable progress in implementing entrepreneurship programs, the percentage of unemployed graduates is concerning. Public HLIs especially play a crucial role in Malaysia's innovation system, where in 2012 alone, they supplied 80% of the country's research staff and spent 29% of the country's overall research and development budget (Narayanan and Yew-Wah, 2018). But over time, according to MOHE Higher Education Statistics (2021), as depicted in Table 2, although producing a much greater number of employed student output, public universities have the second lowest percentage (13.1%) of self-employed graduates when compared with private HLIs (14.9%), polytechnics (12.8%), community colleges (18.5%) and vocational colleges (14.2%).

Therefore, with so much highlighted focus on EE components and ecosystem development, as well as KPI assessments through policies, in creating more entrepreneurs, this study attempts to analyse the impact of EE components, namely curriculum, lecturers' competency, and university entrepreneurship support, has on entrepreneurship intention (EI) among Universiti Teknologi Malaysia's (UTM's) students, with entrepreneurship self-efficacy (ESE) acting as the mediator. Most existing research on EE is done from a broad perspective, and very few have analysed the impacts of the components of EE itself. In addition, there is currently less empirical research examining the present situation of EE in Malaysian HLIs, mainly focusing on the components of EE, namely curriculum, lecturers' competency, and university support (Rengiah, 2013; Akinboye and Pihie, 2014; Rahim and Mukhtar, 2021). Moreover, there is a limited available study investigating ESE's mediating effect with EE components. Ultimately, even though many academics believe entrepreneurship can be taught and learned, the effectiveness of the EE components or dimensions has not received

sufficient scholarly attention, especially in Malaysia. Therefore, this research was conducted with the following objectives:

- i. To investigate the effect of entrepreneurship education (EE) components, namely curriculum, lecturers' competency, and university entrepreneurship support, towards students' entrepreneurship intention (EI).
- ii. To investigate the effect of entrepreneurship education (EE) components, namely curriculum, lecturers' competency, and university entrepreneurship support, towards students' entrepreneurship self-efficacy (ESE).
- iii. To investigate the effect of students' entrepreneurship self-efficacy (ESE) towards students' entrepreneurship intention (EI).
- iv. To investigate the mediating effect of students' entrepreneurship self-efficacy (ESE) in the relationship between entrepreneurship education (EE) (curriculum, lecturers' competency, and university entrepreneurship support) and students' entrepreneurship intention (EI).

### Table 2 - Malaysian graduates by types of HLIs according to employment status, year 2021(cut-off date: 28 february 2021)

	Employment Status											
Types of HLI's	Perma	nent	Cont	ract	Tempo	orary	Self-em	ployed	Work wit fami	ting h ily	Tot	al
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Public Universities	27,538	44.2	14.884	23.9	8,136	13.1	8,141	13.1	3,538	5.7	62,237	100
Private HLIs	21,725	47.2	9,322	20.3	5,739	12.5	6,833	14.9	2,379	5.2	45,998	100
Polytechnics	7,906	44.1	3,675	20.5	3,047	17.0	2,290	12.8	1,003	5.6	17,921	100
<b>Community Colleges</b>	2,206	45.8	660	13.7	745	15.5	889	18.5	315	6.5	4,815	100
Vocational Colleges	2,847	36.6	1,322	17.0	1,860	23.9	1,101	14.2	649	8.3	7,779	100

Source: MOHE higher education statistics (2021)

#### 2. Related Theories

This study's theoretical perspective is grounded in the theory of planned behaviour (TPB). The theory is an updated version of Fishbein's (1963) "theory of reasoned action" (Hackman and Knowlden, 2014). According to the Theory of Reasoned Action, people will have a greater intention or motivation in performing a proposed behaviour or practice if they have a positive attitude toward it (attitude) and feel that others want them to do it (subjective norm) (Mimiaga et al., 2009). Meanwhile, the TPB adds another element (perceived control) onto the framework, which highlights the control beliefs of things that help or hinder a person's behavioural performance (DeNicola et al., 2016). According to Ajzen (2008), there is no conceptual distinction between perceived behavioural control (PBC) and self-efficacy. Self-efficacy aids individuals in determining how much work they will put into a task, how long they will persevere while facing challenges, and how adaptable they will be under adverse circumstances (Bandura, 1986; Van Dinther et al., 2011). Due to the resemblance in the concepts of self-efficacy and PBC, numerous researchers have substituted PBC for self-efficacy in their research (Krueger et al., 2000; Moriano et al., 2012). Therefore, PBC or self-efficacy is also commonly alluded as to 'entrepreneurial self-efficacy' in the context of entrepreneurship studies (Ozaralli and Rivenburgh, 2019). There have been several recent research that have employed self-efficacy as a mediator in conjunction with the theory of planned behaviour (Saeed et al., 2015; Lv et al., 2021).

This theory also helps in examining the entrepreneurial behavioural process within the context of EE because the learning outcomes can influence an individual's inclination to engage in entrepreneurship activities through acquisition of knowledge, which also has the potential to alter behaviour (Lv et al., 2021). Entrepreneurship education, which exposes students on how to recognize opportunities and start new businesses, may help them go down the path of becoming entrepreneurs (Fatoki, 2014), where entrepreneurial intentions will be influenced by learning new behaviours, knowledge, ability, skills, and spirit that impact attitudes (Ferreira et al., 2017), particularly among young people (Ahmed and Kumar, 2021). The integrative model of the TPB framework and EE, like this study is illustrated in Figure 1, which was sourced from Muofhe and Toit (2011).



Fig. 1 - Integrative model of TPB framework with entrepreneurship education (EE) Source: Muofhe and Toit (2011)

#### 2.1 Entrepreneurship Education (EE) Components

According to Keat et al. (2011), EE is a collection of lectures, courses, or programs that are designed to equip students with essential entrepreneurial competencies, abilities, and knowledge to develop them for career as entrepreneurs. The components of EE used in previous studies over the past ten years are outlined in Table 3, primary focusing on the previous independent variable role of entrepreneurship curriculum, entrepreneurship lecturers and university entrepreneurship support.

Author (Year)	Curriculum	Lecturers' Competency	University Support
Pihie and Bagheri (2011)		$\checkmark$	
Rengiah (2013)	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$
Patricia and Silangen (2016)		$\checkmark$	
Hien and Cho (2018)	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$
Lemma (2018)	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Moraes et al. (2018)			$\checkmark$
Iwu et al. (2019)	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
Otache (2019)		$\checkmark$	
Citrawandi and Susanto (2020)	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
Lv et al. (2021)	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$
Su et al. (2021)			$\checkmark$
Huang et al. (2021)			$\checkmark$
Sherkat and Chenari (2022)	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$

Table 3 - Components of HLI's entrepreneurship education (EE) in previous studies (from 2011 to 2021)

#### 2.1.1 Entrepreneurship Education (EE) and Entrepreneurship Intention (EI)

Maleki (2008) defined curriculum as formal and informal contents, processes, and direct and indirect training by which a learner obtains essential information and abilities, as well as change their attitudes, with the supervision of the institution. In a university context, entrepreneurship curriculum is referred to contents and materials used in their entrepreneurial courses (Hien and Cho, 2018). In a study in China by Lv et al. (2021), it was found that despite students acknowledging that entrepreneurship is a non-easy, high-risk and high uncertainty activity, but still they agreed that EE can help aspiring entrepreneurs enhance their knowledge and abilities, boost their entrepreneurial self-efficacy, and hence improving their entrepreneurial intention.

EE also involves an educator's effort to foster entrepreneurial mindset and abilities in students (Ekpoh and Edet, 2011; Olokundun et al., 2017). In a study conducted by Otache (2019), it was found that entrepreneurial lecturers and students' entrepreneurial intentions have a significant positive relationship. According to his finding, EE should be taught by educators who have entrepreneurial attitudes, intents, and behaviours that promote the primary objective of EE, which

is to develop full-fledged entrepreneurs. Lecturers should embrace and cultivate a strong entrepreneurial culture and be able to inspire their students. Similarly, Citrawandi and Susanto (2020), in a study conducted at two universities in Indonesia, found that lecturers' competence significantly influences students' EI, which further implies the importance of lecturer competence in advancing students' entrepreneurial intent in the future.

Furthermore, universities are regarded as entrepreneurship boosters since they offer EE and other complementary support services required to increase future business formation intentions (Boldureanu et al., 2020). Establishing entrepreneurship support units, university incubators, and entrepreneurship workshops are examples of university support efforts to encourage students to start businesses (Barba-Sánchez and Atienza-Sahuquillo, 2016). In the study conducted in four universities in Malaysia, Rengiah (2013) found that participation in a university-based entrepreneurship support program increases entrepreneurship intent while traditional teaching approaches are not enough to stimulate entrepreneurship and facilitate the entrepreneurship learning process. Hien and Cho (2018) found that the university's role through extracurricular programs also significantly develops an entrepreneural culture and an entrepreneurally favourable university environment, ultimately influencing students' EI. Hence, we propose the following hypotheses:

H1: Entrepreneurship curriculum significantly affects students' entrepreneurship intention (EI)

H<sub>2</sub>: Entrepreneurship lecturers' competency significantly affects students' entrepreneurship intention (EI)

H<sub>3</sub>: University entrepreneurship support significantly affects students' entrepreneurship intention (EI)

#### 2.1.2 Entrepreneurship Education (EE) and Entrepreneurship Self-Efficacy (ESE)

Students at the undergraduate level are exposed to entrepreneurship curricula to raise their awareness about the field, stimulate their interest in entrepreneurship, and teach them to appreciate the risks and rewards of starting and running their entrepreneurial venture (Udo-Imeh et al., 2016). According to Alvarez et al. (2006), their study conducted at three major local institutions in Tijuana, Mexico, found significant relationships between students' perceptions of entrepreneurial courses, entrepreneurial self-efficacy and inclination toward establishing their businesses. Ismail (2012) also mentioned that the quality of graduates with entrepreneurship skills and drive depends on the competency of the educator. Huang et al. (2021), in their study on 384 college students from Guangdong Province's 22, highlighted that educators with hands-on entrepreneurship expertise should be appointed to mentor students in entrepreneurial practice support, and the institutes should verify the qualifications of these educators.

Lemma (2018) also added that universities support entrepreneurship by offering complimentary assistance and training required to enhance business formation and subsequent growth. Through the outreach activities provided in the university environment, students can practice entrepreneurship by taking part in events, seminars, business incubators, startups, academic administrations and centers, college and faculty clubs, and other student associations (Moraes et al., 2018). Accordingly, Saeed et al. (2015), in their research on 805 Pakistani university students, found a significant relationship between students' perceived institutional support and entrepreneurial self-efficacy. Hence, from the discussion above, we propose the following hypotheses:

H4: Entrepreneurship curriculum significantly affects students' entrepreneurial self-efficacy (ESE)

H<sub>5</sub>: Entrepreneurship lecturers' competency significantly affects students' entrepreneurial self-efficacy (ESE)

H6: University entrepreneurship support significantly affects students' entrepreneurial self-efficacy (ESE)

#### 2.1.3 Entrepreneurship Self-Efficacy (ESE) and Entrepreneurship Intention (EI)

Entrepreneurship self-efficacy can be referred to as an individual's belief in their ability to establish a business, as well as their entrepreneurial skills and ability to achieve desired results. Accordingly, Moraes et al. (2018) in their finding proposed a positively influencing model where self-efficacy was explained by students' planning, leadership, and innovation capability about entrepreneurship. Besides, Hien and Cho (2018) found exposure to entrepreneurship will benefit students by creating stronger talents, self-efficacy, and positive attitudes about starting a company, as well as solid networks to assist them in obtaining the resources they need to get started. Besides, Shah et al. (2020), utilising data collected from several higher education institutions in Oman, revealed that EE elevates the perception level of self-efficacy and subsequently leads toward EI. Hence, from the discussion above, the following hypothesis was proposed:

H7: Entrepreneurial self-efficacy (ESE) significantly affects students' entrepreneurship intention (EI)

## 2.1.4 Mediating Role of Entrepreneurship Self-Efficacy (ESE) Between Entrepreneurship Education (EE) and Entrepreneurship Intention (EI)

Lv et al. (2021), in a study on college students in the Yangtze River Delta of China, found that entrepreneurial practicing support on student's entrepreneurial intention is mediated by entrepreneurial competency. They also suggested that to better-guiding students in their pursuit of entrepreneurial endeavours, educational institutions should aggressively engage business owners or successful graduates to provide frequent special lectures and classes to students. In another similar

study, Yang (2019) found that entrepreneurial self-efficacy mediates the relationship between role models and entrepreneurial intention of 440 Korean and Chinese university students. They categorised lecturers as one of the role models who inspires and stimulates others through entrepreneurship course to make entrepreneurial decision. Besides that, Patricia and Silangen's (2016) outcome suggested that even if there is an insignificant relationship between entrepreneurship lecturers' competency and EI, the inclusion of a mediator variable may have a mediating effect.

Similarly, Shi et al. (2019) also revealed a significant association between perceived university support and growthoriented intentions mediated by entrepreneurial self-efficacy. Their findings suggest that when people have high levels of entrepreneurial self-efficacy, they are more prone to establish growth-oriented business ventures. Hence, the following hypotheses were proposed:

- H<sub>8</sub>: Entrepreneurial self-efficacy has a significant mediating effect between entrepreneurship curriculum and students entrepreneurship intention (EI)
- H<sub>9</sub>: Entrepreneurial self-efficacy has a significant mediating effect between entrepreneurship lecturers' competency and students' entrepreneurship intention (EI)
- H<sub>10</sub>: Entrepreneurial self-efficacy has a significant mediating effect between university entrepreneurship support and students' entrepreneurship intention (EI)

#### 2.2 Conceptual Framework



Fig. 2 - Conceptual framework of this study

#### 3. Methodology

This study was conducted using a quantitative methodology, with a survey questionnaire as the primary data collection method. The questionnaire items were constructed using a Likert scale with a range of five points, from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree), as suggested by previous authors in the same field (Pihie and Bagheri, 2011; Saeed et al., 2015; Udo-Imeh et al., 2016; Lemma, 2018; Iwu et al., 2019; Wardana et al., 2020). Meanwhile, the population chosen for this study are the undergraduate students of Universiti Teknologi Malaysia (UTM) who had already taken UHAK/UBSS 1032 'Introduction to Entrepreneurship' class, as they would have excellent exposure and understanding of the context of entrepreneurship, as well as EE after undergoing the course which is compulsory in this institution. The questionnaire set contains four sections: Section A on respondents' demographic, Section B about the three independent variables of this study (i.e. entrepreneurship curriculum, entrepreneurship lecturers' competency and university entrepreneurship support), Section C on entrepreneurial self-efficacy, and Section D on EI. From a population of 15,526 undergraduate students at Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 212 respondents provided feedback through questionnaires distributed using simple random probability sampling. The questionnaires were adopted using references from previous empirical literature studies, as shown in Table 4. Despite adapting questionnaires from earlier studies, a pre-test was still conducted to validate the content's clarity, comprehension, fluency and interpretation. Thus, three academicians with expertise on this subject matter and three undergraduates were approached for feedback on content validity.

Variables	Source	Number of Items
Entrepreneurship Curriculum (ECC)	Iwu et al. (2019)	8
Entrepreneurship Lecturers' Competency (ELC)	Patricia and Christian Silangen (2016)	10
University Entrepreneurship Support (UES)	Rahim and Mukhtar (2021)	10
Entrepreneurship Self-Efficacy (ESE)	Shah et al. (2020)	6
Entrepreneurship Intention (EI)	Shah et al. (2020)	7

**Table 4 - Source of research instrument** 

The data gathered from 212 respondents were analysed using the SPSS statistical analytical tool by applying descriptive, regression and mediation analysis. The Cronbach's Alpha values for all independent, dependent, and mediation variables in this study scored values greater than 0.90, indicating that the questionnaire items were highly reliable. This study used percentages and frequencies to interpret respondents' demographics, whereas mean and standard deviation were used to analyse the variables. Meanwhile, linear and multiple regression testing evaluated the influence between EE, ESE and EI. Mediation was examined following Hayes's (2022) methodology for investigating mediating effects by bootstrapping the sampling distribution of the indirect impact because bias-corrected bootstrapping is a very effective strategy in examining mediation (Memon et al., 2018). Preacher and Hayes (2004) and Zhao et al. (2010) consider an indirect effect or medication statistically significant if the t-value is less than 1.96 and the p-value is less than 0.05.

Additionally, analysing confidence intervals is also a key criterion for validating a mediation effect, which can be confirmed if the confidence interval for the indirect impact does not straddle a zero in between (Memon et al., 2018). Zhao et al. (2010) also noted that the sole criterion in a proper mediation study is that the indirect effects of paths "a" and "b" be significant. Hence a significant "X and Y" is not necessary as suggested by Baron and Kenny (1986). Therefore, SPSS Process Macro version 4.1 Software by Andrew F. Hayes was used to evaluate the mediating effect.

#### 4. Data Analysis

#### 4.1 **Respondents' Profile**

Table 5 shows the demographic profile of the respondents, including personal information such as gender, age, citizenship, year of study, level of study, place of origin and faculty. As per Table 5, the descriptive analysis shows that respondents were 61.8% male and 38.2% female, with a majority from age 20 to 21 (50.9%) and 22 to 23 (42.9%). The majority were Malaysian students (89.2%) from urban areas (69.3%). Most were second-year (62.3%) and Faculty of Engineering (72.2%) students.

Demography		Frequency	Percentage
Gender	Male	131	61.8%
	Female	81	38.2%
Age	18-19	6	2.8%
	20-21	108	50.9%
	22-23	91	42.9%
	24-25	7	3.3%
	26 and above	0	0%
Citizenship	Malaysian	189	89.2%
	International	23	10.8%
Place of origin	Rural	65	30.7%
	Urban	147	69.3%
Faculty	Azman Hashim International Business School	11	5.2%
	Faculty of Built Environment & Surveying	32	15.1%
	Faculty of Engineering	153	72.2%
	Faculty of Science	5	2.4%
	Malaysia-Japan International Institute of Technology	3	1.4%
	Faculty of Social Sciences & Humanities	6	2.8%

Table 5 - Profile of respondents (N = 212)

	UTM Razak Faculty of Technology & Informatics	2	0.9%
Year of Study	First Year	33	15.6%
	Second Year	132	62.3%
	Third Year	13	6.1%
	Fourth Year	34	16.0%
	Fifth Year and Above	0	0%

#### 4.2 Descriptive Statistics

The descriptive statistics for each item and variable were calculated to explore their prevalence among respondents. The mean levels were classified as suggested by Pallant (2020), where categories consisted of low (1.00 - 2.33), medium (2.34 - 3.67), and high (3.68 - 5.00). Table 6 shows the descriptive statistics for each variable of this study, where the overall mean was high for entrepreneurship curriculum, entrepreneurship lecturers' competency, and university entrepreneurship support. In contrast, ESE and EI had a medium-level overall mean.

Variables	Number of Items	Mean	SD Range	Mean Level
Entrepreneurship Curriculum (ECC)	8	4.33	0.668 to 0.888	High
Entrepreneurship Lecturers' Competency (ELC)	10	4.44	0.664 to 0.896	High
University Entrepreneurship Support (UES)	10	4.03	0.775 to 0.954	High
Entrepreneurship Self-Efficacy (ESE)	6	3.52	0. 911 to 1.095	Medium
Entrepreneurship Intention (EI)	7	3.64	1.030 to 1.158	Medium

#### Table 6 - Descriptive statistics for variables

#### 4.3 Regression Analysis Results

The findings of regression testing are presented in Table 7. Multiple regression was conducted to test H1, H2, H3, H4, H5 and H6, whereas H7 was tested using linear regression. Nevertheless, when linear regression was carried out for all the variables from hypotheses 1 to 7, they all showed significant effects with a P value less than 0.05. Besides that, multiple regression analysis indicated that H1, H3, H4, H6 are supported, with H2 and H5 not supported. The multiple regression analysis also showed that the most influential factor towards EI and ESE is University Entrepreneurship Support, followed by Entrepreneurship Curriculum, with Entrepreneurship Lecturers' Competency being insignificant.

_					
	Hypotheses	Variables Path	Beta	P-Value	Result
	$H_1$	ECC>EI	.243	.016	Supported
	H <sub>2</sub>	ELC>EI	163	.065	Not Supported
	H3	UES>EI	.468	.000	Supported
	H4	ECC>ESE	.283	.003	Supported
	H5	ELC>ESE	168	.051	Not Supported
	$H_6$	UES>ESE	.517	.000	Supported
	H7	ESE>EI	.702	.000	Significant

Table 7 - Regression testing results (H1 to H7)

#### 4.4 Mediation Analysis Results

Table 8 shows the mediation test results of entrepreneurship self-efficacy, in which all H8, H9 and H10 are supported. This is because if only one of the paths in the mediation framework, either path a (x > m) or b (m > y) is significant, indirect effect or mediation can still be significant. Even if one of the individual paths is insignificant, the indirect effect can be significant (Hayes, 2022).

	Hypotheses	Indirect Effect (x > m > y)	Direct Effect $(x > m)$	Direct Effect (m > y)
$H_8$	Bootstrapping Significance Value	95% CI (0.3758, 0.7352)	95% CI (0.0079, 0.3758)	95% CI (0.5750, 0.8211)
Path: ECC>ESE>EI	Result	Significant	Significant	Significant
	Hypothesis Result		Supported	
H9	Bootstrapping Significance Value	95% CI (0.2575, 0.5718)	95% CI (-0.0770, 0.2737)	95% CI (0.6304, 0.8558)
Path: ELC>ESE>EI	Result	Significant	Insignificant	Significant
	Hypothesis Result		Supported	
H10	Bootstrapping Significance Value	95% CI (0.3474, 0.7339)	95% CI (0.0836, 0.4334)	95% CI (0.5122, 0.7765)
Path: UES>ESE>EI	Result Hypothesis Result	Significant	Significant Supported	Significant

Table 8 - Results of examining the mediation effect of entrepreneurship self-efficacy

#### 5. Discussions

The findings of this study have revealed that entrepreneurship curriculum (Beta=0.243, p=0.016) and university entrepreneurship support (Beta=0.468, p=0.000) have played a significant role in influencing students' EI. The same viewpoint that entrepreneurial intention and motivation can be initiated through the relevance and appropriateness of curriculum and course materials was supported by previous literature (Gelaidan and Abdullateef, 2017; Ahmad et al., 2018). It is evident that achieving these two elements enhances students' learning and practical knowledge gains and fuels their entrepreneurial desire. Meanwhile, the environment and support system of the university are the components that have the most significant impact on entrepreneurial intention through the development of students' attitudinal traits (Moraes et al., 2018). Additionally, the increase in accessible access to services and support offered by higher learning institutions, such as funds, places, assistance, and training, improves the number of students who gain awareness of entrepreneurial activities and reduces future concerns about entrepreneurship (Lv et al., 2021).

The outcome on the impact of EE components towards entrepreneurship self-efficacy also yielded similar results, with entrepreneurship curriculum (Beta=0.283, p=0.003) and university entrepreneurship support (Beta=0.517, p=0.000) having a significant effect. Therefore, EE helps students gain the broad information and abilities needed to be an entrepreneur and at the same time, improves students' entrepreneurial self-efficacy (Saeed et al., 2015). They also further highlighted that academic institutions can significantly encourage entrepreneurial self-efficacy among their students by providing wider assistance beyond their typical teaching method. This form of support may involve giving funds for students to establish a new company, using the university's reputation to help them, and serving as a lead customer for the students' new business endeavours. Subsequently, students also have high expectations for high-quality education from educational institutions as it not only helps in starting a business venture but also prepares them for future occupations that need entrepreneurial skills (Hien and Cho, 2018). They also noted that practically all university programs will impact students' attitudes, with curriculum and extracurricular activities significantly impacting students' capabilities.

However, entrepreneurship lecturers' competency was found to have an insignificant relationship with both entrepreneurship self-efficacy and EI, although the descriptive statistics for entrepreneurship lecturers' competency showed an overall mean at a high level (Mean=4.44). This outcome directly contradicts some previous literature which has demonstrated a significant effect of entrepreneurship lecturers' competency on EI (Citrawandi and Susanto, 2020; Otache, 2019, Iwu et al., 2019; Lemma, 2018). Patricia and Silangen (2016) had a similar insignificant relationship between entrepreneurship lecturers and EI. They found that entrepreneurship educators and professors come from the academic world rather than the professional sector. Similarly, Pihie and Bagheri (2011) also had an insignificant relationship between entrepreneurship lecturers' competency and students' entrepreneurship self-efficacy, where lecturers had considerably strong entrepreneurial self-efficacy, meanwhile, students had moderately high entrepreneurial self-efficacy. This indicates that although lecturers are highly effective in carrying out the roles and tasks of an entrepreneurial learning opportunities, failing to adapt to the complexities of the entrepreneurship learning process, and consequently failing to uplift their entrepreneurial efficacy.

Meanwhile, the impact of entrepreneurship self-efficacy toward EI was also significant. This result is also consistent with previous literature which had similarly resulted in a significant relationship between entrepreneurship self-efficacy

and EI (Hien and Cho, 2018; Moraes et al., 2018; Saeed et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2019). Lastly, the mediating effect of ESE between EE components (curriculum, lecturers' competency, and university entrepreneurship support) and EI was significant. Therefore, entrepreneurial intention is influenced by the development of entrepreneurial competence. Thus, EE refinements must encourage the development of entrepreneurial skills at all levels of education to increase EI (Luis-Rico et al., 2020). Whereas, in the case of entrepreneurship self-efficacy, despite having insignificant results with H2 and H5, a significant mediating effect was attained with the inclusion of entrepreneurship self-efficacy as a mediator. Remarkably, the H2 result is similar to Patricia and Silangen (2016) outcome, where they suggested that even if there is an insignificant relationship between entrepreneurship lecturers' competency and EI, the inclusion of a variable as a mediator may mediate the influence of lecturers' enthusiasm on entrepreneurial intention, in which this study has proven. Therefore, the lecturing team can improve students' entrepreneurial intention by enhancing their entrepreneurial self-efficacy, as improved self-efficacy will lead students to be more confident and well-prepared in their trajectory towards pursuing entrepreneurship.

To sum up, EE indeed motivate people to be entrepreneurs and encourage them to be innovative, skilled, and creative (Ahmad et al., 2018). To bolster this entrepreneurial drive, particular emphasis must be paid to the adequacy of entrepreneurial education. Expanding pedagogies and introducing innovative approaches are needed to sufficiently prepare students for their future occupations, such as supporting student-led activities in the classroom to stimulate engagement in the learning process while emphasising the relevance of the underlying theories (Deale, 2016). As for entrepreneurship lecturers, most of them tend to concentrate on theories, and students learn about entrepreneurship from a theoretical standpoint (Lackéus, 2015). Furthermore, most university lecturers in Malaysia are actively involved in university teaching after completing their postgraduate degrees. Therefore, they might still lack hands-on experience with innovation and entrepreneurship and delivering it effectively. Besides that, to encourage entrepreneurial competence development, some experts recommend adopting methodological and strategic shifts, namely decreasing reliance on theory-based approaches, fostering action-based learning and direct engagement, and increasing self-directed study (Arranz et al., 2017). Ultimately, it is imperative to emphasise the harmonious integration of theoretical knowledge and practical experience when developing innovation and entrepreneurship education systems and designing entrepreneurship courses. This approach helps mitigate the potential contradiction arising from the disparity between tacit knowledge and entrepreneurial experience and the necessity for consistent classroom teaching requirements (Huang et al., 2021).

#### 6. Conclusion

The findings revealed that EE components, except for entrepreneurship lecturers' competency, have played an essential and significant role in influencing EI among undergraduate students. The outcome on the influence of EE components towards entrepreneurship self-efficacy also yielded similar results. Therefore, it may be concluded entrepreneurship curriculum, and university entrepreneurship support play a crucial role in enhancing both ESE and EI of students. While lecturers are excellent at entrepreneurship teaching methods standardized by the university, providing awareness and channelling the spirit of entrepreneurship, as well as what is needed to be entrepreneurs, their delivery method is not enough and requires serious attention, which may need some innovative approach in improving students' entrepreneurship motivation and characteristics. Besides that, the outcome on the impact of ESE towards EI was also significant. Meanwhile, the mediating effect of ESE between EE components (curriculum, lecturers' competency, and university entrepreneurship support) and EI were all significant. Therefore, this leads to the conclusion that exposure to entrepreneurship will benefit students in creating stronger ESE, followed by a positive outlook about starting a business venture.

Although all the research objectives of this study were addressed, this research has several theoretical, methodological, and contextual limitations. Hence, it is recommended that future research incorporate a mix of quantitative and qualitative methodologies to obtain deeper insights into the subject matter. Furthermore, other variables such as entrepreneurship orientation, innovativeness, characteristics, and attitude can be included and explored as mediators. Besides that, exploring stratified sampling could facilitate comparative studies among different groups or faculties. In conclusion, various stakeholders should play an essential and instrumental role in funnelling and strategizing EE resources into creating more numbers of successful entrepreneurs. Thus, the mapping of EE components, which includes entrepreneurship curriculum, entrepreneurship lecturers' competency, and university entrepreneurship support, requires occasional refining to suit better the ever-changing economic and innovation advancements to produce more job creators continually. Therefore, stakeholders and other related organisations should implement several corrective measures, including improving lecturers' delivery of entrepreneurship teachings, effective integration of theoretical entrepreneurship knowledge and practical experience, and necessary entrepreneurship support mechanisms that complement classroom learning.

#### Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank everyone who directly and indirectly assisted us with this research.

#### References

Abd Hamid, M. (2013). Entrepreneurship education: The implementation in Year 1 primary school curriculum in Malaysia. A case study of one district in East Peninsular Malaysia (*Doctoral dissertation*, University of York).

Ahmad, S.Z., Bakar, A.R., and Ahmad, N.B. (2018). An evaluation of teaching methods of entrepreneurship in hospitality and tourism programs. *The International Journal of Management Education*, 16, 14-25.

Ahmed, S., and Kumar, V (2021). A critical study on the impact of entrepreneurship education between relations among institutional and individual factors and entrepreneurship factors and entrepreneurial intention of university graduates. *IJESMSJ*, 2 (2), 6-10.

Ajzen, I. (2008). Consumer attitudes and behaviour. In C. P. Haugtvedt, P. M. Herr, and F. R. Kardes (Eds.), *Handbook of consumer psychology*. (pp. 525–548). Taylor & Francis Group/Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Akinboye, A. K., and Pihie, Z. A. L. (2014). Effects Of Learning Styles On Students" Perceptions Of Entrepreneurship Course Relevance And Teaching Methods. *International Interdisciplinary Journal of Education*, 3(1), 217-224.

Arranz, N., Ubierna, F., Arroyabe, M. F., Perez, C., and Fdez. de Arroyabe, J. C. (2017). The effect of curricular and extracurricular activities on university students' entrepreneurial intention and competencies. *Studies in Higher Education*, 42(11), 1979-2008.

Bandura, A. (1986). The explanatory and predictive scope of self-efficacy theory. J. of soc. & cli. psycho., 4(3), 359-373.

Barba-Sánchez, V., and Atienza-Sahuquillo, C. (2016). The development of entrepreneurship at school: the Spanish experience. *Education+ Training*, 58 (7/8).

Baron, R. M., and Kenny, D. A. (1986). The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 51(6), 1173.

Boldureanu, G., Ionescu, A. M., Bercu, A. M., Bedrule-Grigoruță, M. V., and Boldureanu, D. (2020). Entrepreneurship education through successful entrepreneurial models in higher education institutions. *Sustainability*, 12(3), 1267.

Botha, M., and Bignotti, A. (2016). Internships enhancing entrepreneurial intent and self-efficacy: Investigating tertiarylevel entrepreneurship education programmes. *The Southern African J. of Entrepreneurship & Small Business Management*, 8(1), 1-15.

Citrawandi, N., and Susanto, P. (2020, November). Analysis of Education of Entrepreneurship, Curriculum Implementation, and Lecturer Competence Towards the Interest of Entrepreneurship of Students in Jambi Province. In *The Fifth Padang International Conference on Economics Education, Economics, Business and Management, Accounting and Entrepreneurship* (PICEEBA-5 2020) (pp. 422-429). Atlantis Press.

Deale, C. S. (2016). Entrepreneurship education in hospitality and tourism: insights from entrepreneurs. *Journal of Teaching in Travel & Tourism*, 16(1), 20-39.

DeNicola, E., Aburizaize, O. S., Siddique, A., Khwaja, H., and Carpenter, D. O. (2016). Road traffic injury as a major public health issue in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia: a review. *Frontiers in public health*, 4, 215.

Department of Statistics Malaysia (2020). Graduates Statistics. Retrieved on September 19, 2021 from https://www.dosm.gov.my/v1/index.php?r=column%2FcthemeByCatandcat=476andbul\_id=U1ltVWpwNXRNRUR2N lhRSHZmenRMUT09andmenu\_id=Tm8zcnRjdVRNWWlpWjRlbmtlaDk1UT09

Deveci, İ., and Seikkula-Leino, J. (2018). A review of entrepreneurship education in teacher education. *Malaysian Journal of Learning and Instruction*, 15(1), 105-148.

Dinis, A., do Paco, A., Ferreira, J., Raposo, M., and Rodrigues, R. G. (2013). Psychological characteristics and entrepreneurial intentions among secondary students. *Education+ Training*. 55(8/9), 763-780.

Dumitrache, V., and Raileanu-Szeles, M. (2014). The role of business education is provided through lifelong learning in enhancing professional competencies. Evidence from the EU-27 dataset. *Amfiteatru Economic Journal*, 16(37), 874-884.

Ekpoh, U. I., and Edet, A. O. (2011). Entrepreneurship education and career intentions of tertiary education students in Akwa Ibom and Cross River States, Nigeria. *International Education Studies*, 4(1), 172-178.

Fatoki, O. (2014). The entrepreneurial intention of undergraduate students in South Africa: The influences of entrepreneurship education and previous work experience. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 5(7), 294.

Ferreira, J. J., Ratten, V., and Dana, L. P. (2017). Knowledge spillover-based strategic entrepreneurship. *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*, 13(1), 161-167.

Fishbein, M. (1963). Investigating the relationships between beliefs about an object and the attitude toward that object. *Human relations*, 16(3), 233-239.

Gelaidan, H. M., and Abdullateef, A. O. (2017). Entrepreneurial intentions of business students in Malaysia: The role of self-confidence, educational and relation support. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 24(1), 54-67.

Gilbert, D. H. (2012). From chalk and talk to walking the walk: Facilitating dynamic learning contexts for entrepreneurship students in fast-tracking innovations. *Education*+ *Training*, 54 (2/3), 152-166.

Hackman, C. L., and Knowlden, A. P. (2014). Theory of reasoned action and theory of planned behaviour-based dietary interventions in adolescents and young adults: a systematic review. *Adolescent health, medicine and therapeutics*, 5, 101.

Hamidon (2015, October). Entrepreneurship Education in Malaysian Institutes of Higher Learning (A 2015 Review). Speech Presentation at 4th UNESCO-APEID Meeting on Entrepreneurship Education in Bangkok, Thailand

Hanapi, Z., and Nordin, M. S. (2014). Unemployment among Malaysia graduates: Graduates' attributes, lecturers' competency and quality of education. *Procedia-Social and Behavioural Sciences*, 112, 1056-1063.

Hassan, A., Saleem, I., Anwar, I., and Hussain, S. A. (2020). The entrepreneurial intention of Indian university students: the role of opportunity recognition and entrepreneurship education. *Education+ Training*, 62 (7/8), 843-861.

Hayes, A. F. (2022). Introduction to mediation, moderation, and conditional process analysis: A regression-based approach (3rd Ed.). The Guilford Press.

Hien, D. T. T., and Cho, S. E. (2018). Relationship between entrepreneurship education and innovative start-up intentions among university students. *International Journal of Entrepreneurship*, 22(3), 1-16.

Huang, Y., An, L., Wang, J., Chen, Y., Wang, S., and Wang, P. (2021). The Role of Entrepreneurship Policy in College Students' Entrepreneurial Intention: The Intermediary Role of Entrepreneurial Practice and Entrepreneurial Spirit. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 439.

Ismail, J. (2012). Quality of Education in Human Capital. Haluan. Malaysia

Iwu, C. G., Opute, P. A., Nchu, R., Eresia-Eke, C., Tengeh, R. K., Jaiyeoba, O., and Aliyu, O. A. (2021). Entrepreneurship education, curriculum and lecturer-competency as antecedents of student entrepreneurial intention. *The International Journal of Management Education*, 19(1), 100295. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2019.03.007

Kamaruddin, H., Othman, N., Hassan, R., Zaki, W. M. D. W., and Sum, S. M. (2017). The government's role in the importance of entrepreneurship education amongst university students in Malaysia. In *Leadership, innovation and entrepreneurship as driving forces of the global economy* (pp. 579-587). Springer, Cham.

Karim, M. S. A. (2016). Entrepreneurship education in an engineering curriculum. Proc. Eco. & Finance, 35, 379-387.

Keat, O. Y., Selvarajah, C., and Meyer, D. (2011). Inclination towards entrepreneurship among university students: An empirical study of Malaysian university students. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 2(4).

Krueger Jr, N. F., Reilly, M. D., and Carsrud, A. L. (2000). Competing models of entrepreneurial intentions. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 15(5-6), 411-432.

Lackéus, M. (2015). Entrepreneurship In Education: What, Why, When, How. Background paper. OECD, France.

Lee, W., Neo, K. W., Eaw, H. C., Lim, A. F., and JosephNg, P. S. (2021, October). The Effects of Financial Literacy on Fresh Graduates' Employability Rate in Malaysia. In 2021 5th Int. Conf. on E-Business and Internet (pp. 184-193).

Lemma, E. (2018). Perception of Engineering Students on Entrepreneurship Education. JSART. 3(11)

Linan, F. (2004). Intention-based models of entrepreneurship education. Piccolla Impresa/Small Business, 3(1), 11-35.

Linan, F. (2008). Skill and value perceptions: how do they affect entrepreneurial intentions?. *International entrepreneurship and management journal*, 4(3), 257-272.

Linan, F., and Chen, Y. W. (2009). Development and cross-cultural application of a specific instrument to measure entrepreneurial intentions. *Entrepreneurship theory and practice*, 33(3), 593-617.

Liu, X., Lin, C., Zhao, G., and Zhao, D. (2019). Research on the effects of entrepreneurial education and entrepreneurial self-efficacy on college students' entrepreneurial intention. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10, 869.

Luis-Rico, I., Escolar-Llamazares, M. C., De la Torre-Cruz, T., Jiménez, A., Herrero, Á., Palmero-Cámara, C., and Jiménez-Eguizábal, A. (2020). Entrepreneurial interest and entrepreneurial competence among Spanish youth: an analysis with artificial neural networks. *Sustainability*, 12(4), 1351.

Lv, Y., Chen, Y., Sha, Y., Huang, Y., Wang, J., An, L., Chen, T., Huang, X., and Huang, L. (2021). How entrepreneurship education at universities influences entrepreneurial intention: mediating effect based on entrepreneurial competence. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 2612. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.655868

Malaysian Ministry of Higher Education (2016). Entrepreneurship Action Plan for Higher Education Institutions (2021-2025). Retrieved on November 8, 2021, from https://www.mohe.gov.my/en/download/publications-journals-and-reports/ptk-ipt/2016-2020/106-ptk-ipt-2016-2020/file.

Malaysian Ministry of Higher Education (2021). Entrepreneurship Action Plan for Higher Education Institutions (2021-2025). Retrieved on November 8, 2021, from https://www.mohe.gov.my/en/download/publications-journals-and-reports/ptk-ipt/2021-2025/522-ptk-ipt-2021-2025-bi/file.

Malaysian Ministry of Higher Education (2021). Higher Education Statistics 2020. Tracer Study, MOHE. Retrieved on November 8, 2021, from https://www.mohe.gov.my/muat-turun/statistik/2020/498-statistik-pendidikan-tinggi-2020-09 -bab-7-graduate-tracer-studies/file

Maleki, H (2008). Curriculum (Action Guide). Mashhad: Payam Andishe.

Mei, H., Lee, C., and Xiang, Y. (2020). Entrepreneurship Education and Students' Entrepreneurial Intention in Higher Education. *Education Sciences*. 10. 257. 10.3390/educsci10090257.

Memon, M. A., Jun, H. C., Ting, H., and Francis, C. W. (2018). Mediation analysis issues and recommendations. *Journal of Applied Structural Equation Modelling*, 2(1), 1-9.

Mimiaga, M. J., Reisner, S. L., Reilly, L., Soroudi, N., and Safren, S. A. (2009). Individual interventions. In HIV Prevention, (pp. 203-239). *Academic Press*.

Ministry of Education Malaysia. (2015). Malaysia Education Blueprint 2015-2025 (Higher Education). Retrieved on September 19, 2021, from https://www.kooperationinternational.de/uploads/media/3.Malaysia\_Education\_Blueprint \_2015-2025\_Higher\_Education\_.pdf

Moraes, G. H. S. M. D., Iizuka, E. S., and Pedro, M. (2018). Effects of entrepreneurial characteristics and university environment on entrepreneurial intention. Revista de Administração Contemporânea, 22, 226-248.

Moriano, J. A., Gorgievski, M., Laguna, M., Stephan, U., and Zarafshani, K. (2012). A cross-cultural approach to understanding entrepreneurial intention. *Journal of Career Development*, 39(2), 162-185.

Muofhe, N. J., and Du Toit, W. F. (2011). Entrepreneurial education and entrepreneurial role models' influence on career choice. *SA journal of human resource management*, 9(1), 1-15.

Mwasalwiba, E. S. (2008). Entrepreneurship education: a review of its objectives, teaching methods, and impact indicators. *Journal of Education and Training*, 25, 1, 20-47.

Narayanan, H., and Yew-Wah, L. (2018). Innovation policy in Malaysia. Innovation policy in ASEAN, 128-162.

New Straits Times. (2019, July 11). New policy to Drive Entrepreneurs: New Straits Times. NST Online. Retrieved on September 17, 2021, from https://www.nst. com.my/news/nation/2019/07/503403/new-policy-drive-entrepreneurs

Olokundun, M. A., Ibidunni, A. S., Peter, F., Amaihian, A. B., and Ogbari, M. (2017). Entrepreneurship Educator's Competence on University Students' Commitment to Learning and Business Plan Writing. *Academy of Strategic Management Journal*, 16(2), 1-10.

Otache, I. (2019). Enhancing the effectiveness of entrepreneurship education: the role of entrepreneurial lecturers. *Education+ Training*. 61(7/8), 918-939. https://doi.org/10.1108/ET-06-2018-0127

Ozaralli, N., and Rivenburgh, N.K. (2016) Entrepreneurial Intention: Antecedents to Entrepreneurial Behavior in the U.S.A. and Turkey. *Journal of Global Entrepreneurship Research*, 6, 1-32. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40497-016-0047-x

Pallant, J. (2020). SPSS survival manual: A step-by-step guide to data analysis using IBM SPSS. Routledge.

Patricia, P., and Silangen, C. (2016). The Effect of Entrepreneurship Education on Entrepreneurial Intention in Indonesia. DeReMa (Development Research of Management): *Jurnal Manajemen*. 11(1), 67-86.

Pihie, Z. A. L., and Bagheri, A. (2011). Teachers' and students' entrepreneurial self-efficacy: Implication for effective teaching practices. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 29, 1071-1080.

Preacher, K. J., and Hayes, A. F. (2004). SPSS and SAS procedures for estimating indirect effects in simple mediation models. Behaviour *Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers*, 36(4), 717-731.

Premand, P., Brodmann, S., Almeida, R., Grun, R., and Barouni, M. (2016). Entrepreneurship education and entry into self-employment among university graduates. *World Development*, 77, 311-327.

Rahim, H. L., Kadir, M. A. B. A., Abidin, Z. Z., Junid, J., Kamaruddin, L. M., Lajin, N. F. M., Buyong, S.Z., and Bakri, A. A. (2015). Entrepreneurship education in Malaysia: A critical review. *Journal of Technology Management and Business*, 2(2). Retrieved from https://penerbit.uthm.edu.my/ojs/index.php /jtmb/article/view/1162

Rahim, I. H. A., and Mukhtar, D. (2021). Perception of students on entrepreneurship education. Int. J. of Business and Social Science.

Rengiah, P. (2013). Effectiveness of entrepreneurship education in developing entrepreneurial intentions among Malaysian university students (*Doctoral dissertation*, Southern Cross University).

Saeed, S., Muffatto, M., and Yousafzai, S. (2015). A multi-level study of entrepreneurship education among Pakistani university students. *Entrepreneurship Research Journal*, 4(3), 297-321.

Sani, A., and Jamil, H. (2022). Entrepreneurship curriculum practice in Malaysian universities: successes and challenges. *International Journal of Applied Management Science*, 14(2), 160-170.

Shah, I. A., Amjed, S., and Jaboob, S. (2020). The moderating role of entrepreneurship education in shaping entrepreneurial intentions. *Journal of Economic Structures*, 9(1), 1-15.

Shakur, E. S. A., Sa'at, N. H., Aziz, N., Abdullah, S. S., and Rasid, N. H. A. (2020). Determining unemployment factors among job seeking youth in the east coast of peninsular Malaysia. *The J. of Asian Finance, Economics, and Business*, 7(12), 565-576.

Sherkat, A., and Chenari, A. (2022). Assessing the effectiveness of entrepreneurship education in the universities of Tehran province based on an entrepreneurial intention model. *Studies in Higher Education*, 47(1), 97-115.

Solesvik, M. Z., Westhead, P., Kolvereid, L., and Matlay, H. (2012). Student intentions to become self-employed: the Ukrainian context. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*. 19(3),441-460.

Stoica, O., Roman, A., and Rusu, V. D. (2020). The nexus between entrepreneurship and economic growth: A comparative analysis on groups of countries. *Sustainability*, 12(3), 1186.

Su, Y., Zhu, Z., Chen, J., Jin, Y., Wang, T., Lin, C. L., and Xu, D. (2021). Factors influencing the entrepreneurial intention of university students in China: integrating the perceived university support and theory of planned behavior. *Sustainability*, *13*(8), 4519.

Tsai, W. H., Lee, P. L., Shen, Y. S., and Hwang, E. T. (2014). A combined evaluation model for encouraging entrepreneurship policies. *Annals of Operations Research*, 221(1), 449-468.

Udo-Imeh, P., Magaji, B., Hamidu, A., and Yakubu, K. M. (2016). Perceptions of entrepreneurship education by Modibbo Adama University of Technology, Yola, Nigeria engineering students. *African J. of Business Mngmnt.*, 10(14), 352-360.

Van Dinther, M., Dochy, F., and Segers, M. (2011). Factors affecting students' self-efficacy in higher education. *Educational research review*, 6(2), 95-108.

Wardana, L. W., Narmaditya, B. S., Wibowo, A., Mahendra, A. M., Wibowo, N. A., Harwida, G., and Rohman, A. N. (2020). The impact of entrepreneurship education and students' entrepreneurial mindset: the mediating role of attitude and self-efficacy. *Heliyon*, 6(9), e04922.

Wiley, K. K., and Berry, F. S. (2015). Teaching social entrepreneurship in public affairs programs: A review of social entrepreneurship courses in the top 30 US public administration and affairs programs. *Journal of Public Affairs Education*, 21(3), 381-400.

Yang, J. H. (2019). The effect of role model on entrepreneurial self-efficacy and entrepreneurial intention: Focused on Korean and Chinese university students. *Asia-Pacific J. of Business Venturing and Entrepreneurship*, 14(2), 211-220.

Yeh, C. H., Lin, H. H., Wang, Y. M., Wang, Y. S., and Lo, C. W. (2021). Investigating the relationships between entrepreneurial education and self-efficacy and performance in the context of internet entrepreneurship. *The International Journal of Management Education*, 19(3), 100565.

Zhao, X., Lynch, J. G., and Chen, Q. (2010). Reconsidering Baron and Kenny: Myths and Truths about Mediation Analysis. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 37(3), 197-206.